

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,801	12/29/2000	Scott D. Leapman	1957	5937
30408	7590 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
GATEWAY, INC.			LASTRA, DANIEL	
ATTN: PATE 610 GATEW.	ENT ATTORNEY AY DR.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DROP Y-04			3622	
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/751,801	LEAPMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) 	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must the final rejection.	evidence, which places to ewith 37 CFR 41.31; or stoke filed within one of the stoke of the stok	he (3) a he following
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED W	ITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fe final Office action; or (2) as	e under 37 set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date of filing the of the appeal. Since a No	e Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered bee	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendment	t canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wvided below or appended.	rill be entered and an exp	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-49</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is n	ecessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails t See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	· · · — — _	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
		Yehologa Primary AU 3622	Examiner
		AU 3622	こ

The Applicant arguest that Huang merely describes the movement of "personal information" from a local PC desktop to a PDA, but nothing in Huang explains what should be done with this information once it is loaded on the PDA. The Applicant argues that nothing in Huan suggest that this personal information, even if downloaded to a PDA, could be or should be used to modify "acceptance data" that is stored on the PDA, or should be compared to a broadcast advertisement containing advertisement data in a manner that is used to obtain a comparison result. The Examiner answers that Dowlings teaches "The user-interest packet is a packet identifying a specific use interest. For example, the user within the vehicle 102 has a toothache and enters the domain of the local broadcast domain entity 150, The user is thereby interested in finding a dentist. The user enters information via the user input-output device 210 either by mouse click keyboard entry, or voice commands indicative of this interest. The mobile unit 105 then broadcasts this information via the antenna 145 into the broadcast domain to be received by the local broadcast domain entity 150. If a local dentist is registered with the local broadcast domain entity 150, the decision 530 evaluates affirmatively and a packet relating a locally available dentist will be transmitted back to the mobile unit 150 as discussed below. In another example the mobile unit 105 is implemented as a palm-pilot or personal digital assistant computer. A user carrying the palm-pilot version of the mobile unit 105 enters a shopping mall and is looking for a silver plated picture frame under fifty dollars. Information to this effect is entered by the user as discussed above and a user-interest packet is transmitted according to the first step 515. The local broadcast domain entity 150 is preferably controlled by the shopping mall authorities or a contracted advertising company. The steps 520 and 525 then are performed to determine which stores carry the item of interest. If any o the stores within the shopping mall carry the item of interest, the decision 530 evaluates affirmatively and a packet will be transmitted back to the mobile unit 150" (see column 17, lines 45-67). Dowling teaches the use of PDAs to modify acceptance data "user-interest packet" and Huang teaches integrating a "personal information manager" with PDAs. Therefore, Dowling and Huan teaches the Applicant's claimed invention.